

VIRUSES COMPRISING MUTANT ION CHANNEL PROTEIN

Cross-Reference to Related Application

This application is a continuation-in-part application of U.S. application Serial No. 60/197,209, filed on April 14, 2000.

5

Statement of Government Rights

This invention was made with a grant from the Government of the United States of America (grants AI-29599, AI-42774 and AI-44386 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases). The Government may have certain
10 rights in the invention.

Background of the Invention

Cell membranes consist of a double layer of lipid molecules in which various proteins are embedded. Because of its hydrophobic interior, the lipid bilayer
15 of cell membranes serves as a barrier to the passage of most polar molecules and therefore is crucial to cell viability. To facilitate the transport of small water-soluble molecules into or out of cells or intracellular compartments, such membranes possess carrier and channel proteins. Ion channels are essential for many cellular functions, including the electrical excitability of muscle cells and electrical signaling
20 in the nervous system (reviewed by Alberts et al., 1994). They are present not only in all animal and plant cells, as well as microorganisms, but also have been identified in viruses (Ewart et al., 1996; Piller et al., 1996; Pinto et al., 1992; Schubert et al., 1996; Sugrue et al., 1990; Sunstrom et al., 1996), where they are thought to play an important role in the viral life cycle.

25 The influenza A virus is an enveloped negative-strand virus with eight RNA segments encapsidated with nucleoprotein (NP) (reviewed by Lamb and Krug, 1996). Spanning the viral membrane are three proteins: hemagglutinin (HA), neuraminidase (NA), and M2. The extracellular domains (ectodomains) of HA and NA are quite variable, while the ectodomain domain of M2 is essentially invariant
30 among influenza A viruses. The life cycle of viruses generally involves attachment

to cell surface receptors, entry into the cell and uncoating of the viral nucleic acid, followed by replication of the viral genes inside the cell. After the synthesis of new copies of viral proteins and genes, these components assemble into progeny virus particles, which then exit the cell (reviewed by Roizman and Palese, 1996).

- 5 Different viral proteins play a role in each of these steps. In influenza A viruses, the M2 protein which possesses ion channel activity (Pinto et al., 1992), is thought to function at an early state in the viral life cycle between host cell penetration and uncoating of viral RNA (Martin and Helenius, 1991; reviewed by Helenius, 1992; Sugrue et al., 1990). Once virions have undergone endocytosis, the virion-
- 10 associated M2 ion channel, a homotetrameric helix bundle, is believed to permit protons to flow from the endosome into the virion interior to disrupt acid-labile M1 protein-ribonucleoprotein complex (RNP) interactions, thereby promoting RNP release into the cytoplasm (reviewed by Helenius, 1992). In addition, among some influenza strains whose HAs are cleaved intracellularly (e.g., A/fowl
- 15 plagues/Rostock/34), the M2 ion channel is thought to raise the pH of the *trans*-Golgi network, preventing conformational changes in the HA due to conditions of low pH in this compartment (Hay et al., 1985; Ohuchi et al., 1994; Takeuchi and Lamb, 1994).

- Evidence that the M2 protein has ion channel activity was obtained by
- 20 expressing the protein in oocytes of *Xenopus laevis* and measuring membrane currents (Pinto et al., 1992; Wang et al., 1993; Holsinger et al., 1994). Specific changes in the M2 protein transmembrane (TM) domain altered the kinetics and ion selectivity of the channel, providing strong evidence that the M2 TM domain constitutes the pore of the ion channel (Holsinger et al., 1994). In fact, the M2 TM
- 25 domain itself can function as an ion channel (Duff and Ashley, 1992). M2 protein ion channel activity is thought to be essential in the life cycle of influenza viruses, because amantadine hydrochloride, which blocks M2 ion channel activity (Hay et al., 1993), inhibits viral replication (Kato and Eggers, 1969; Skehel et al., 1978). However, a requirement for this activity in the replication of influenza A viruses has
- 30 not been directly demonstrated.

Generally, influenza vaccines have been prepared from live, attenuated virus or killed virus which can grow to high titers. Live virus vaccines activate all phases of the immune system and stimulate an immune response to each of the protective antigens, which obviates difficulties in the selective destruction of protective antigens that may occur during preparation of inactivated vaccines. In addition, the immunity produced by live virus vaccines is generally more durable, more effective, and more cross-reactive than that induced by inactivated vaccines. Further, live virus vaccines are less costly to produce than inactivated virus vaccines. However, the mutations in attenuated virus are often ill-defined.

Thus, what is needed is a method to prepare recombinant attenuated influenza virus for vaccines e.g., attenuated viruses having defined mutation(s).

Summary of the Invention

The invention provides an isolated and purified recombinant virus comprising a mutant ion channel protein which lacks or has reduced ion channel activity relative to the activity of a corresponding wild-type ion channel protein. The activity of an ion channel protein may be measured by methods well-known to the art, see, e.g., Holsinger et al. (1994). The recombinant viruses of the invention replicate *in vitro* but are attenuated *in vivo*. Preferably, the virus is a recombinant orthomyxovirus, e.g., a recombinant influenza virus, or a recombinant lentivirus, e.g., human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). Also preferably, the mutant ion channel protein is a mutant viral ion channel protein. In one embodiment of the invention, the mutant ion channel protein comprises at least one amino acid substitution relative to the corresponding wild-type ion channel protein. The substitution(s) in the ion channel protein may be positioned in the ectodomain, the TM domain, or the cytoplasmic domain, or any combination thereof. Preferred substitutions are in or near the TM domain of the ion channel protein. For example, for influenza A virus, substitutions may be at residues 25-43 of M2, i.e., the TM domain, and preferably are at positions 27, 30, 31, 34, 38, and/or 41 of the TM domain of M2. In another embodiment of the invention, the mutant ion channel

protein comprises a deletion in at least a portion of the ectodomain, the TM domain, the cytoplasmic domain, or any combination thereof. Preferably, the deletion is in or near the TM. In yet another embodiment of the invention, the mutant ion channel protein is a chimeric protein comprising a portion of an ion channel protein, e.g., the
5 ectodomain and/or the cytoplasmic domain of a viral ion channel protein, and a heterologous protein, e.g., the TM domain of a heterologous protein. Also within the scope of the invention is a recombinant virus comprising a mutant ion channel protein comprising at least one amino acid substitution, a deletion, an insertion, a functional portion of a heterologous protein, e.g., a portion which provides a
10 structure such as a TM domain or has a similar activity as the corresponding portion in the full length heterologous protein such as catalytic activity, binding to a ligand, or other has a detectable phenotype, or any combination thereof.

As described hereinbelow, recombinant influenza A viruses with defective M2 ion channel activity were prepared using a reverse-genetics system (see
15 Example 1 and Neumann et al., 1999). Unexpectedly, all of the M2 ion channel mutants replicated as efficiently as the wild-type virus *in vitro*, although their growth was attenuated in mice. Recombinant viruses were also prepared which comprise a mutant M2 ion channel protein which is a chimeric protein, e.g., a chimeric protein in which the TM domain of M2 was replaced with the TM domain
20 from HA or NA. These recombinant viruses replicated well in tissue culture, but were highly attenuated in mice. Thus, M2 ion channel activity is not essential for the life cycle of influenza A viruses. Rather, it may serve an auxiliary function that could, for example, promote viral replication *in vivo*. Given that the administration of *E. coli*-derived particles, spontaneously formed from a fusion protein containing
25 the M2 ectodomain and a portion of the hepatitis B core protein, to mice resulted in 90-100% protection against lethal virus challenge (Neirynck et al., 1999), and that cold-adapted live vaccines are efficacious in humans, the attenuated growth of mutant M2 ion channel viruses *in vivo*, but not *in vitro*, indicates that these mutant viruses may be useful in the development of live influenza vaccines. Thus, the
30 invention further provides a vaccine or immunogenic composition comprising the

recombinant virus of the invention, and a method of using the vaccine or immunogenic composition to immunize a vertebrate or induce an immune response in a vertebrate, respectively.

Also provided is a method of preparing a recombinant influenza virus

- 5 comprising a mutant ion channel protein which lacks or has reduced activity relative to the corresponding wild-type ion channel protein. The method comprises contacting a host cell with a composition comprising a plurality of influenza vectors, including a vector comprising a mutant ion channel protein, so as to yield recombinant virus. For example, for influenza A, the composition comprises: a) at
- 10 least two vectors selected from a vector comprising a promoter operably linked to an influenza virus PA cDNA linked to a transcription termination sequence, a vector comprising a promoter operably linked to an influenza virus PB1 cDNA linked to a transcription termination sequence, a vector comprising a promoter operably linked to an influenza virus PB2 cDNA linked to a transcription termination sequence, a
- 15 vector comprising a promoter operably linked to an influenza virus HA cDNA linked to a transcription termination sequence, a vector comprising promoter operably linked to an influenza virus NP cDNA linked to a transcription termination sequence, a vector comprising a promoter operably linked to an influenza virus NA cDNA linked to a transcription termination sequence, a vector comprising a
- 20 promoter operably linked to an influenza virus M cDNA linked to a transcription termination sequence, and a vector comprising a promoter operably linked to an influenza virus NS cDNA linked to a transcription termination sequence, wherein the M cDNA comprises mutant ion channel protein DNA; and

- b) at least two vectors selected from a vector comprising a promoter
- 25 operably linked to a DNA segment encoding influenza virus PA, a vector comprising a promoter operably linked to a DNA segment encoding influenza virus PB1, a vector comprising a promoter operably linked to a DNA segment encoding influenza virus PB2, a vector comprising a promoter operably linked to a DNA segment encoding influenza virus NP, a vector comprising a promoter operably
- 30 linked to a DNA segment encoding influenza virus HA, a vector comprising a

promoter operably linked to a DNA segment encoding influenza virus NA, a vector comprising a promoter operably linked to a DNA segment encoding influenza virus M1, a vector comprising a promoter operably linked to a DNA segment encoding an ion channel protein, preferably a mutant ion channel protein, and a
5 vector comprising a promoter operably linked to a DNA segment encoding influenza virus NS2. Preferably, the mutant ion channel protein in the vector of a) or b) is a mutant M2 ion channel protein, e.g., one having at least one amino acid substitution, deletion, insertion, or heterologous sequence, which lacks or has reduced ion channel activity relative to the activity of a corresponding wild-type M2
10 ion channel protein. The invention further provides a composition such as that described hereinabove, and a host cell contacted with such a composition e.g., so as to yield infectious virus. Alternatively, the host cell may be contacted with each vector, or a subset of vectors, sequentially.

The invention also provides a vector encoding a chimeric protein
15 comprising the ectodomain of an influenza virus ion channel protein linked to a heterologous transmembrane protein, preferably linked to a cytoplasmic domain, e.g., from an influenza protein which has a transmembrane domain.

Brief Description of the Figures

20 Figure 1. Schematic diagram of established reverse genetics systems. In the RNP transfection method (A), purified NP and polymerase proteins are assembled into RNPs with use of *in vitro*-synthesized vRNA. Cells are transfected with RNPs, followed by helper virus infection. In the RNA polymerase I method (B), a plasmid containing the RNA polymerase I promoter, a cDNA encoding the vRNA to be
25 rescued, and the RNA polymerase I terminator is transfected into cells. Intracellular transcription by RNA polymerase I yields synthetic vRNA, which is packaged into progeny virus particles upon infection with helper virus. With both methods, transfectant viruses (i.e., those containing RNA derived from cloned cDNA), are selected from the helper virus population.

30 Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the generation of RNA polymerase I

constructs. cDNAs derived from influenza virus were amplified by PCR, digested with *Bsm*BI and cloned into the *Bsm*BI sites of the pHH21 vector (E. Hoffmann, Ph.D. thesis, Justus, Liebig-University, Giessen, Germany), which contains the human RNA polymerase I promoter (P) and the mouse RNA polymerase I terminator (T). The thymidine nucleotide upstream of the terminator sequence (*T) represents the 3' end of the influenza viral RNA. Influenza A virus sequences are shown in bold face letters.

Figure 3. Proposed reverse genetics method for generating segmented negative-sense RNA viruses. Plasmids containing the RNA polymerase I promoter a cDNA for each of the eight viral RNA segments, and the RNA polymerase I terminator are transfected into cells together with protein expression plasmids. Although infectious viruses can be generated with plasmids expressing PA, PB1, PB2, and NP, expression of all remaining structural proteins (shown in brackets) increases the efficiency of virus production depending on the virus generated.

Figure 4. Detection of the FLAG epitope in cells infected with a transfectant virus. Antibody staining was used to identify the NA in MDCK cells infected with either PR8-WSN-FL79 (A, D) or A/WSN/33 wild-type virus (B, E), or on mock-infected MDCK cells (C, F). Nine hours after infection, cells were fixed with paraformaldehyde, treated with Triton X-100 and incubated with either anti-FLAG (A-C) or anti-WSN NA (D-F) monoclonal antibodies. Intensive *Golgi* staining (red) is apparent in positive samples (A, D, and E).

Figure 5. Recovery of PA mutants. The PA gene of each virus was amplified by RT-PCR with primers that yield a 1226 bp fragment (position 677 to 1903 of the mRNA, lanes 1, 3, 5), which was then digested with the restriction enzyme *Bsp*120I (at position 846 of the mRNA, lanes 4, 7) or *Pvu*II (at position 1284 of the mRNA, lanes 2, 6). The presence of *Bsp*120I or *Pvu*II sites in the PCR products yielded either 169 bp and 1057 bp or 607 bp and 619 bp fragments, respectively. MW = molecular weight markers.

Figure 6. Primers employed to amplify influenza sequences.

Figure 7. Schematic diagram of mutant influenza virus M2 proteins and

their properties. The amino acid sequence of the TM domain (residues 25 to 43) is shown in single-letter code in the expanded section of the diagram. The ion channel activity was determined by Holdinger et al. (1994), using a two-electrode voltage-clamp procedure. +, detectable ion channel activity; -, nondetectable ion channel activity.

Figure 8. Growth curves of the M2 mutant and wild-type WSN-UdM viruses. MDCK cells were infected with virus at an MOI of 0.001. At the indicated times after infection, the virus titer in the supernatant was determined. The values are means of triplicate experiments. The SD is less than 0.59 for each sample.

Figure 9. Amantadine sensitivity of the M2 ion channel mutants. The mutant and wild-type WSN-UdM viruses were tested for plaque-forming capacity in MDCK cells in the presence of different concentrations of amantadine. Experiments were performed three times, with the results reported as means \pm SD.

Figure 10. Schematic diagram of the chimeric M2 mutants. Each mutant was constructed by replacing the TM domain of M2 with that of the HA or NA.

Figure 11. Incorporation of the M2 mutants into influenza virions. Purified viruses were lysed in a sample buffer. Viral proteins were treated with 2-mercaptoethanol, separated by 15% SDS-PAGE, transferred to a PVDF membrane, and detected with the 14C2 anti-M2 monoclonal antibody (Zebedee and Lamb, 1988) and anti-WSN-NP monoclonal antibody. Molecular masses of the marker proteins are shown on the left.

Figure 12. Virus-specific antibodies in nasal wash (A), lung wash (B) or sera (C) from vaccinated mice. Mice were intranasally immunized with 50 μ l of 1.1×10^5 PFU/ml of M2del29-31 or wild-type WSN-UdM (control) viruses. In the second week after immunization, four mice were sacrificed to obtain samples.

Figure 13. Body weights of immunized mice which were challenged with wild-type virus two weeks (A), one month (B) or two months (C) after immunization.

Detailed Description of the Invention

Definitions

As used herein, the terms “isolated and/or purified” refer to *in vitro* preparation, isolation and/or purification of a vector, plasmid or virus of the invention, so that it is not associated with *in vivo* substances, or is substantially purified from *in vitro* substances. An isolated virus preparation of the invention is generally obtained by *in vitro* culture and propagation and is substantially free from other infectious agents. As used herein, “substantially free” means below the level of detection for a particular infectious agent using standard detection methods for that agent. A “recombinant” virus is one which has been manipulated *in vitro*, e.g., using recombinant DNA techniques to introduce changes to the viral genome.

As used herein, the term “recombinant nucleic acid” or “recombinant DNA sequence or segment” refers to a nucleic acid, e.g., to DNA, that has been derived or isolated from a source, that may be subsequently chemically altered *in vitro*, so that its sequence is not naturally occurring, or corresponds to naturally occurring sequences that are not positioned as they would be positioned in the native genome. An example of DNA “derived” from a source, would be a DNA sequence that is identified as a useful fragment, and which is then chemically synthesized in essentially pure form. An example of such DNA “isolated” from a source would be a useful DNA sequence that is excised or removed from said source by chemical means, e.g., by the use of restriction endonucleases, so that it can be further manipulated, e.g., amplified, for use in the invention, by the methodology of genetic engineering.

Orthomyxoviruses

Influenza virus A

Influenza A viruses possess a genome of eight single-stranded negative-sense viral RNAs (vRNAs) that encode a total of ten proteins. The influenza virus life cycle begins with binding of the HA to sialic acid-containing receptors on the surface of the host cell, followed by receptor-mediated endocytosis. The low pH in late endosomes triggers a conformational shift in the HA, thereby exposing the N-

terminus of the HA2 subunit (the so-called fusion peptide). The fusion peptide initiates the fusion of the viral and endosomal membrane, and the matrix protein (M1) and RNP complexes are released into the cytoplasm. RNPs consist of the nucleoprotein (NP), which encapsidates vRNA, and the viral polymerase complex, which is formed by the PA, PB1, and PB2 proteins. RNPs are transported into the nucleus, where transcription and replication take place. The RNA polymerase complex catalyzes three different reactions: synthesis of an mRNA with a 5' cap and 3' polyA structure, of a full-length complementary RNA (cRNA), and of genomic vRNA using the cDNA as a template. Newly synthesized vRNAs, NP, and polymerase proteins are then assembled into RNPs, exported from the nucleus, and transported to the plasma membrane, where budding of progeny virus particles occurs. The neuraminidase (NA) protein plays a crucial role late in infection by removing sialic acid from sialyloligosaccharides, thus releasing newly assembled virions from the cell surface and preventing the self aggregation of virus particles. Although virus assembly involves protein-protein and protein-vRNA interactions, the nature of these interactions is largely unknown.

Although influenza B and C viruses are structurally and functionally similar to influenza A virus, there are some differences. For example, influenza B virus does not have a M2 protein with ion channel activity. Instead, the NB protein, a product of the NA gene, likely has ion channel activity and thus a similar function to the influenza A virus M2 protein. Similarly, influenza C virus does not have a M2 protein with ion channel activity. However, the CM1 protein is likely to have this activity.

Thogotovirus

Thogotoviruses (THOV) represent a new genus in the family of *Orthomyxoviridae*. They are transmitted by ticks and have been found in domestic animals, including camels, goats, and cattle. Consequently, THOV can replicate in tick and vertebrate cells. The THOV genome comprises six segments of single-stranded, negative-sense RNA. The proteins encoded by the three largest segments

show significant homology to the influenza virus polymerase proteins PB2, PB1, and PA. Segment 5 encodes a protein related to influenza virus NP. The THOV glycoprotein, which is encoded by segment 4, is not homologous to either influenza virus HA or NA, but it shows sequence similarity to the Baculovirus glycoprotein.

- 5 The smallest segment is thought to encode a matrix protein and does not resemble any of the influenza virus proteins. Like influenza virus, both the 3' and 5' ends of the vRNA are required for promoter activity, and this activity is located in the terminal 14 and 15 nucleotides of the 3' and 5' ends of the vRNA, respectively.

The mRNA synthesis of THOV is primed by host cell-derived cap structures.

- 10 However, in contrast to influenza virus, only the cap structures (without additional nucleotides) are cleaved from cellular mRNAs (Albo et al., 1996; Leahy et al., 1997; Weber et al., 1996). *In vitro* cleavage assays revealed that both the 5' and 3' ends of vRNA are required for endonuclease activity (Leahy et al., 1998), but addition of a model cRNA promoter does not stimulate endonuclease activity (Leahy et al.,
15 1998), as has been shown for influenza virus (Cianci et al., 1995; Hagen et al., 1994). A 'hook' structure has been proposed for THOV (Leahy et al., 1997; Weber et al., 1997), which is similar to the corkscrew structure proposed for influenza virus (Flick et al., 1996). This 'hook' structure, however, is only found in the THOV vRNA promoter. The cRNA promoter sequence does not allow the formation of
20 base pairs between positions 2 and 9, and between 3 and 8 at the 5' end of the cRNA. Alterations at positions 3 or 8 to allow base-pairing between these nucleotides stimulates endonuclease activity, which is strong supporting evidence of the proposed 'hook' structure (Leahy et al., 1998). Moreover, this structure might be crucial for the regulation of the THOV life cycle; the vRNA promoter, forming
25 the 'hook' structure, may stimulate PB2 endonuclease activity, thereby allowing transcription. The cRNA promoter, in contrast, may not form the 'hook' structure and may therefore be unable to stimulate endonuclease activity, thus resulting in replication.

Bunyaviridae

The family *Bunyaviridae* includes several viruses that cause hemorrhagic or encephalitic fevers in humans (e.g., Rift fever valley, Hantaan, La Crosse, and Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever). The spherical and enveloped virions contain
5 three segments of single-stranded, negative-sense RNA (reviewed in Elliott, 1997). The largest segment (L) encodes the viral RNA polymerase protein (L protein), whereas the M segment encodes the two viral glycoproteins G1 and G2, and a nonstructural protein (NSm). The smallest segment (S) encodes the nucleocapsid protein (N) and a second nonstructural protein (NSs). Virus replication and
10 transcription take place in the cytoplasm, and newly assembled virions bud through the membranes of the Golgi apparatus.

Bridgen & Elliott (1996) have established a reverse genetics system to generate infectious Bunyamwera virus entirely from cloned cDNAs. They followed a strategy first described by Schnell et al. (1994) for rabies virus: intracellular
15 transcription of a cDNA coding for the positive-sense antigenomic RNA (but not for the negative-sense genomic RNA) in cells expressing the viral polymerase and nucleoprotein. Bridgen & Elliott (1996) infected HeLaT4+ cells with vaccinia virus expressing T7 polymerase and transfected these cells with plasmids expressing proteins encoded by the S, M, and L segments. They then transfected these cells
20 with three plasmids encoding full-length anti-genomic cDNAs flanked by the T7 polymerase promoter and the hepatitis delta virus ribozyme. To increase the number of bunyavirus particles relative to the number of vaccinia virus particles, the authors used mosquito cells in which Bunyamwera but not Vaccinia virus replicates. This protocol can be used not only to genetically engineer *Bunyaviridae*, but also
25 generate reassortant viruses that cannot easily be obtained by coinfecting cells with different *Bunyaviridae* strains.

To study bunyavirus promoter elements and the viral proteins that are required for transcription and replication, Dunn et al. (1995) cloned the CAT gene in the negative-sense orientation between the 5' and 3' nontranslated regions of the
30 Bunyamwera S RNA segment. Cells were transfected with constructs expressing

the proteins encoded by the L and S segment and were then transfected with *in vitro* transcribed RNA, which resulted in CAT activity. The bunyavirus S segment encodes two proteins, N and NSs, in overlapping reading frames. To determine whether both of these proteins are required for transcription and replication,

5 constructs expressing only N or NSs were tested for CAT activity. N protein expression, together with L protein, resulted in CAT activity, whereas no CAT activity was detected with the NSs expression construct. Thus, the L and N proteins are sufficient for transcription and replication of a bunyavirus-like RNA.

As with influenza virus, the terminal sequences of bunyavirus RNAs are

10 complementary and highly conserved. It has therefore been assumed that these sequence elements define the bunyaviral promoter and are crucial for promoter activity. Deletion of five nucleotides at the 3' end of the viral RNA drastically reduces CAT expression (Dunn et al., 1995). In contrast, addition of two nucleotides at the 5' end, or of 11 or 35 nucleotides at the 3' end does not abolish

15 CAT expression (Dunn et al., 1995). Therefore, like the influenza virus polymerase complex, the bunyavirus polymerase protein can apparently start transcription and/or replication internally.

The invention will be further described by the following non-limiting examples.

20

Example 1

Materials and Methods

Cells and viruses. 293T human embryonic kidney cells and Madin-Darby canine kidney cells (MDCK) were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and in modified Eagle's

25 medium (MEM) containing 5% newborn calf serum, respectively. All cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Influenza viruses A/WSN/33 (H1N1) and A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) were propagated in 10-day-old eggs.

Construction of plasmids. To generate RNA polymerase I constructs,

30 cloned cDNAs derived from A/WSN/33 or A/PR/8/34 viral RNA were introduced

between the promoter and terminator sequences of RNA polymerase I. Briefly, the cloned cDNAs were amplified by PCR with primers containing *Bsm*BI sites, digested with *Bsm*BI, and cloned into the *Bsm*BI sites of the pHH21 vector which contains the human RNA polymerase I promoter and the mouse RNA polymerase I terminator, separated by *Bsm*BI sites (Figure 2). The PB2, PB1, PA, HA, NP, NA, M, and NS genes of the A/WSN/33 strain were PCR-amplified by use of the following plasmids: pSCWPB2, pGW-PB1, and pSCWPA (all obtained from Dr. Debi Nayak at the University of California Los Angeles), and pWH17, pWNP152, pT3WNA15 (Castrucci et al., 1992), pGT3WM, and pWNS1, respectively. The PB1 gene of influenza A/PR/8/34 virus was amplified by using pcDNA774 (PB1) (Perez et al., 1998) as a template. See Figure 6 for the sequences of the primers. To ensure that the genes were free of unwanted mutations, PCR-derived fragments were sequenced with an autosequencer (Applied Biosystem Inc., CA, USA) according to the protocol recommended by the manufacturer. The cDNAs encoding the HA, NP, NA, and M1 genes of A/WSN/33 virus were cloned as described (Huddleston et al., 1982) and subcloned into the eukaryotic expression vector pCAGGS/MCS (controlled by the chicken β -actin promoter) (Niwa et al., 1991), resulting in pEWSN-HA, pCAGGS-WSN-NP0-14, pCAGGS-WNA15, and pCAGGS-WSN-M1-2/1, respectively. The M2 and NS2 genes from the A/PR/8/34 virus were amplified by PCR and cloned into pCAGGS/MCS, yielding pEP24c and pCA-NS2. Finally, pcDNA774(PB1), pcDNA762(PB2), and pcDNA787(PA) were used to express the PB2, PB1, and PA proteins under control of the cytomegalovirus promoter (Perez et al., 1998).

Generation of infectious influenza particles. 293T cells (1×10^6) were transfected with a maximum of 17 plasmids in different amounts with use of Trans IT LT-1 (Panvera, Madison, Wisconsin) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, DNA and transfection reagent were mixed (2 μ l Trans IT-LT-1 per μ g of DNA), incubated at room temperature for 45 minutes and added to the cells. Six hours later, the DNA-transfection reagent mixture was replaced by Opti-MEM (Gibco/BRL, Gaithersburg, Maryland) containing 0.3% bovine serum

albumin and 0.01% fetal calf serum. At different times after transfection, viruses were harvested from the supernatant and titrated on MDCK cells. Since helper virus was not required by this procedure, the recovered transfectant viruses were analyzed without plaque purification.

5 Determination of the percentage of plasmid-transfected cells producing viruses. Twenty-four hours after transfection, 293T cells were dispersed with 0.02% EDTA into single cells. The cell suspension was then diluted 10-fold and transferred to confluent monolayers of MDCK cells in 24-well plates. Viruses were detected by the hemagglutination assay.

10 Immunostaining assay. Nine hours after infection with influenza virus, cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde (in PBS) for 20 minutes at room temperature. Next, they were treated with 0.1% Triton X-100 and processed as described by Neumann et al. (1997).

15 Results

Generation of infectious virus by plasmid-driven expression of viral RNA segments, three polymerase subunits and NP protein. Although transfection of cells with a mixture of RNPs extracted from purified virions results in infectious influenza particles, this strategy is not likely to be efficient when used with eight
20 different *in vitro* generated RNPs. To produce infectious influenza viruses entirely from cDNAs, eight viral RNPs were generated *in vivo*. Thus, plasmids were prepared that contain cDNAs for the full-length viral RNAs of the A/WSN/33 virus, flanked by the human RNA polymerase I promoter and the mouse RNA polymerase I terminator. In principle, transfection of these eight plasmids into eukaryotic cells
25 should result in the synthesis of all eight influenza vRNAs. The PB2, PB1, PA and NP proteins, generated by cotransfection of protein expression plasmids, should then assemble the vRNAs into functional vRNPs that are replicated and transcribed, ultimately forming infectious influenza viruses (Figure 3). 1×10^6 293T cells were transfected with protein expression plasmids (1 μ g of pcDNA762(PB2), 1 μ g of
30 pcDNA774(PB1), 0.1 μ g of pcDNA787(PA), and 1 μ g of pCAGGS-WSN-NP0/14)

and 1 µg of each of the following RNA polymerase I plasmids (pPo1I-WSN-PB2, pPo1I-WSN-PB1, pPo1I-WSN-PA, pPo1I-WSN-HA, pPo1I-WSN-NP, pPo1I-WSN-NA, pPo1I-WSN-M, and pPo1I-WSN-NS). The decision to use a reduced amount of pcDNA787(PA) was based on previous observations (Mena et al., 1996), and data on the optimal conditions for generation of virus-like particles (VLPs) (data not shown). Twenty-four hours after transfection of 293T cells, 7×10^3 pfu of virus per ml was found in the supernatant (Experiment 1, Table 1), demonstrating for the first time the capacity of reverse genetics to produce influenza A virus entirely from plasmids.

Table 1. Plasmid sets used to produce influenza virus from cloned cDNA*

		Experiment							
RNA polymerase I plasmids for:†		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	PB1	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
	PR8-PB1	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
	PB2	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	PA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	HA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	NP	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	NA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	M	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	NS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Protein expression plasmids for:									
	PB1	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
	PB2	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	PA	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
	NP	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
	HA	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
	NA	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
	M1	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
	M2	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+

	NS2	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Virus titer (pfu/ml)		7×10^3	7×10^3	1×10^3	3×10^4	0	0	0	0

* 293T cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids. Twenty-four (Experiments 1 and 2) or forty-eight hours (Experiments 3-8) later, the virus titer in the supernatant was determined in MDCK cells.

† Unless otherwise indicated, plasmids were constructed with cDNAs representing the RNAs of A/WSN/33 virus.

10

Efficiency of influenza virus production with coexpression of all viral

structural proteins. Although expression of the viral NP and polymerase proteins is sufficient for the plasmid-driven generation of influenza viruses, it was possible that the efficiency could be improved. In previous studies, the expression of all influenza virus structural proteins (PB2, PB1, PA, HA, NP, NA, M1, M2, and NS2) resulted in VLPs that contained an artificial vRNA encoding a reporter chloramphenicol-acetyltransferase gene (Mena et al., 1996). Thus, the availability of the entire complement of structural proteins, instead of only those required for viral RNA replication and transcription, might improve the efficiency of virus production.

15

20

To this end, 293T cells were transfected with optimal amounts of viral protein expression plasmids (as judged by VLP production; unpublished data): 1 µg of pcDNA762(PB2) and pcDNA774(PB1); 0.1 µg of pcDNA787(PA); 1 µg of pEWSN-HA, pCAGGS-WSN-NP0/14, and pCAGGS-WNA15; 2 µg of pCAGGS-WSN-M1-2/1; 0.3 µg of pCA-NS2; and 0.03 µg of pEP24c (for M2), together with

25

1 µg of each RNA polymerase I plasmid (Experiment 2, Table 1). A second set of cells was transfected with the same set of RNA polymerase I plasmids, with the exception of the PB1 gene, for which pPo1I-PR/8/34-PB1 was substituted in an effort to generate a reassortant virus, together with plasmids expressing only PA, PB1, PB2, and NP (Experiment 3, Table 1) or those expressing all the influenza

30

structural proteins (Experiment 4, Table 1). Yields of WSN virus did not appreciably differ at 24 hours (Experiments 1 and 2, Table 1) or at 36 hours (data not shown) post-transfection. However, more than a 10-fold increase in yields of the virus with PR/8/34-PB1 was found when all the influenza viral structural

proteins were provided (Experiments 3 and 4, Table 1). Negative controls, which lacked one of the plasmids for the expression of PA, PB1, PB2, of NP proteins, did not yield any virus (Experiments 5-8, Table 1). Thus, depending on the virus generated, expression of all influenza A virus structural proteins appreciably

5 improved the efficiency of the reverse genetics method.

Next, the kinetics of virus production after transfection of cells was determined using the set of plasmids used to generate a virus with the A/PR/8/34-PB1 gene. In two of three experiments, virus was first detected at 24 hours after transfection. The titer measured at that time, $>10^3$ pfu/ml, had increased to $>10^6$ pfu/ml by 48 hours after transfection (Table 2). To estimate the percentage of plasmid-transfected cells that were producing viruses, 293T cells were treated with EDTA (0.02%) at 24 hours after transfection to disperse the cells, and then performed limiting dilution studies. In this experiment, no free virus was found in the culture supernatant at this time point. The results indicated that 1 in $10^{3.3}$ cells was generating infectious virus particles.

Table 2. Kinetics of virus production after plasmid transfection into 293T cells*

Hours after plasmid transfection	Virus titers in culture supernatant (pfu/ml)		
	Experiment		
	1	2	3
6	0	ND	ND
12	0	ND	0
18	0	ND	0
24	0	2×10^3	6×10^3
30	ND	5×10^4	9×10^4
36	6×10^2	$> 1 \times 10^5$	7×10^5
42	ND	$> 1 \times 10^6$	5×10^6
48	8×10^4	$> 1 \times 10^6$	1×10^7

* 293T cells were transfected with eight RNA polymerase I plasmids encoding A/WSN/33 virus genes with the exception of PB1 gene, which is derived from A/PR/8/34 virus, and nine protein expression plasmids as described in the text. At

different time points, we titrated virus in the culture supernatant in MDCK cells.
ND = not done.

Recovery of influenza virus containing the FLAG epitope in the NA protein.

- 5 To verify that the new reverse genetics system allowed the introduction of mutations into the genome of influenza A viruses, a virus containing a FLAG epitope (Castrucci et al., 1992) in the NA protein was generated. 293T cells were transfected with an RNA polymerase I plasmid (pPo1I-WSN-NA/FL79) that contained a cDNA encoding both the NA protein and a FLAG epitope at the bottom
- 10 of the protein's head, together with the required RNA polymerase I and protein expression plasmids. To confirm that the recovered virus (PR8-WSN-FL79) did in fact express the NA-FLAG protein, immunostaining assays of cells infected with PR8-WSN-FL79 or A/WSN/33 wild-type virus was performed. A monoclonal antibody to the FLAG epitope detected cells infected with PR8-WSN-FL79, but not
- 15 those infected with wild-type virus (Figure 4). Recovery of the PR8-WSN-FL79 virus was as efficient as that for the untagged wild-type virus (data not shown). These results indicate that the new reverse genetics system allows one to introduce mutations into the influenza A virus genome.

Generation of infectious influenza virus containing mutations in the PA

- 20 gene. To produce viruses possessing mutations in the PA gene, two silent mutations were introduced creating new recognition sequences for restriction endonucleases (*Bsp*120I at position 846 and *Pvu*II at position 1284 of the mRNA). Previously, it was not possible to modify this gene by reverse genetics, because of the lack of a reliable selection system. Transfectant viruses, PA-T846C and PA-A1284 were
- 25 recovered. The recovered transfectant viruses were biologically cloned by two consecutive limiting dilutions. To verify that the recovered viruses were indeed transfectants with mutations in the PA gene, cDNA for the PA gene was obtained by reverse transcriptase-PCR. As shown in Figure 5, PA-T846C and PA-A1284C viruses had the expected mutations within the PA gene, as demonstrated by the
- 30 presence of the newly introduced restriction sites. PCR of the same viral samples and primers without the reverse transcription step failed to produce any products

(data not shown), indicating that the PA cDNA was indeed originated from vRNA instead of the plasmid used to generate the viruses. These results illustrate how viruses with mutated genes can be produced and recovered without the use of helper viruses.

5 Discussion

The reverse genetics systems described herein allows one to efficiently produce influenza A viruses entirely from cloned cDNAs. Bridgen and Elliott (1996) also used reverse genetics to generate a Bunyamwera virus (*Bunyaviridae* family), but it contains only three segments of negative-sense RNA, and the efficiency of its production was low, 10^2 pfu/ 10^7 cells. Although the virus yields differed among the experiments, consistently $> 10^3$ pfu/ 10^6 cells was observed for influenza virus, which contains eight segments. There are several explanations for the high efficiency of the reverse genetics system described hereinabove. Instead of producing RNPs *in vitro* (Luytjes et al., 1989), RNPs were generated *in vivo* through intracellular synthesis of vRNAs using RNA polymerase I and through plasmid-driven expression of the viral polymerase proteins and NP. Also, the use of 293T cells, which are readily transfected with plasmids (Goto et al., 1997), ensured that a large population of cells received all of the plasmids needed for virus production. In addition, the large number of transcripts produced by RNA polymerase I, which is among the most abundantly expressed enzymes in growing cells, likely contributed to the overall efficiency of the system. These features led to a correspondingly abundant number of vRNA transcripts and adequate amounts of viral protein for encapsidation of vRNA, formation of RNPs in the nucleus, and export of these complexes to the cell membrane, where new viruses are assembled and released.

Previously established reverse genetics systems (Enami et al., 1990; Neumann et al., 1994; Luytjes et al., 1989; Pleschka et al., 1996) require helper-virus infection and therefore selection methods that permit a small number of transfectants to be retrieved from a vast number of helper viruses. Such strategies have been employed to generate influenza viruses that possess one of the following cDNA-derived genes: PB2 (Subbarao et al., 1993), HA (Enami et al., 1991:

Horimoto et al., 1994), NP (Li et al., 1995), NA (Enami et al., 1990), M (Castrucci et al., 1995; Yasuda et al., 1994), and NS (Enami et al., 1991). Most of the selection methods, except for those applicable to the HA and NA genes, rely on growth temperature, host range restriction, or drug sensitivity, thus limiting the utility of reverse genetics for functional analysis of the gene products. Even with the HA and NA genes, for which reliable antibody-driven selection systems are available, it is difficult to produce viruses with prominent growth defects. In contrast, the reverse genetics system described herein does not require helper virus and permits one to generate transfectants with mutations in any gene segment or with severe growth defects. This advantage is demonstrated in Figure 5, which the recovery of transfectant viruses with a mutated PA gene. Having the technology to introduce any viable mutation into the influenza A virus genome will enable investigators to address a number of long-standing issues, such as the nature of regulatory sequences in nontranslated regions of the viral genome, structure-function relationships of viral proteins, and the molecular basis of host-range restriction and viral pathogenicity.

Although inactivated influenza vaccines are available, their efficacy is suboptimal due partly to their limited ability to elicit local IgA and cytotoxic T cell responses. Clinical trials of cold-adapted live influenza vaccines now underway suggest that such vaccines are optimally attenuated, so that they will not cause influenza symptoms, but will still induce protective immunity (reviewed in Keitel & Piedra, 1998). However, preliminary results indicate that these live virus vaccines will not be significantly more effective than the best inactivated vaccine (reviewed in Keitel. & Piedra, 1998), leaving room for further improvement. One possibility would be to modify a cold-adapted vaccine with the reverse genetics system described above. Alternatively, one could start from scratch by using reverse genetics to produce a “master” influenza A strain with multiple attenuating mutations in the genes that encode internal proteins. The most intriguing application of the reverse genetics system described herein may lie in the rapid production of attenuated live-virus vaccines in cases of suspected pandemics involving new HA or NA subtypes of influenza virus.

This new reverse genetics system will likely enhance the use of influenza viruses as vaccine vectors. The viruses can be engineered to express foreign proteins or immunogenic epitopes in addition to the influenza viral proteins. One could, for example, generate viruses with foreign proteins as a ninth segment

5 (Enami et al., 1991) and use them as live vaccines. Not only do influenza viruses stimulate strong cell-mediated and humoral immune responses, but they also afford a wide array of virion surface HA and NA proteins (e.g., 15 HA and 9 NA subtypes and their epidemic variants), allowing repeated immunization of the same target population.

10 Influenza VLPs possessing an artificial vRNA encoding a reporter gene have been produced by expressing viral structural proteins and vRNA with the vaccinia-T7 polymerase system (Mena et al., 1996). Using reverse genetics, one can now generate VLPs containing vRNAs that encode proteins required for vRNA transcription and replication (i.e., PA, PB1, PB2, and NP), as well as vRNAs

15 encoding proteins of interest. Such VLPs could be useful gene delivery vehicles. Importantly, their lack of genes encoding viral structural proteins would ensure that infectious viruses will not be produced after VLP-gene therapy. Since the influenza virus genome is not integrated into host chromosome, the VLP system would be suitable for gene therapy in situations requiring only short-term transduction of cells

20 (e.g., for cancer treatment). In contrast to adenovirus vectors (Kovesdi et al., 1997), influenza VLPs could contain both HA and NA variants, allowing repeated treatment of target populations.

The family *Orthomyxoviridae* comprises influenza A, B, and C viruses, as well as the recently classified Thogotovirus. The strategy for generating infectious

25 influenza A viruses entirely from cloned cDNAs described herein would apply to any orthomyxovirus, and perhaps to other segmented negative-sense RNA viruses as well (e.g., *Bunyaviridae*, *Arenaviridae*). The ability to manipulate the viral genome without technical limitations has profound implications for the study of viral life cycles and their regulation, the function of viral proteins and the molecular

30 mechanisms of viral pathogenicity.

Example 2

Materials and Methods

Cells and viruses. 293T human embryonic kidney cells and Madin-Darby canine kidney cells (MDCK) were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and in MEM containing 5% newborn calf serum, respectively. The 293T cell line is a derivative of the 293 line, into which the gene for the simian virus 40 T antigen was inserted (DuBridge et al., 1987). All cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Influenza virus A/Udorn/307/72 (H3N2) (Udorn) was propagated in 10-day-old eggs.

Construction of plasmids. The cDNA of Udorn virus was synthesized by reverse transcription of viral RNA with an oligonucleotide complementary to the conserved 3' end of viral RNA, as described by Katz et al. (1990). The cDNA was amplified by PCR with M gene-specific oligonucleotide primers containing *BsmBI* sites, and PCR products were cloned into the pT7Blueblunt vector (Novagen, Madison, WI). The resulting construct was designated pTPo1IUdM. After digestion with *BsmBI*, the fragment was cloned into the *BsmBI* sites of the pHH21 vector, which contains the human RNA polymerase I promoter and the mouse RNA polymerase I terminator, separated by *BsmBI* sites (Neumann et al., 1999), resulting in pPo1IUdM. Plasmids derived from pHH21 for the expression of vRNA are referred to as “Po1I” constructs in this report.

The M mutants were constructed as follows. pTPo1IUdM was first amplified by inverse PCR (Ochman et al., 1988) using the back-to-back primers M2104R (5'-AAGAGG GTCACCTGAATCG-3'; SEQ ID NO:1) and M2V27T (5'-ACTGTTGCTGCGAGTATC-3'; SEQ ID NO:2) and M2A30P (5'-GTTGTTGCTCCAACTATC-3'; SEQ ID NO:3) and M2S31N (5'-GTTGTTGCTGCGAACATC-3'; SEQ ID NO:4) and M2del29-31 (5'-GTTGTTATCATTTGGGATCTTGC-3'; SEQ ID NO:5), and the back-to-back primers M2128R (5'-CCCAATGATACTCGCAGC-3'; SEQ ID NO:6) and M2W41A (5'-ATCTTGCACTTGATATTGGCAATTC-3'; SEQ ID NO:7), and the back-to-back primers M2HATMR(5'-

CACCAGTGAAGTGGCGACAGTTGAGTAGATCGCCAGAATGTCACCTTGAA
TCGTTGCATCTGC-3'; SEQ ID NO:8) and M2HATM (5'-
CTTTTGGTCTCCCTGGGGGCAATCAGTTTCTGGATGGATCGTCTTTTTTTC
AAATGC-3'; SEQ ID NO:9), and M2NATMR (5'-
5 GCTTAGTATCAATTGTATTCCATTTATGATTGATATCCAAATGCTGTCAC
TTGAATCGTTGCATCTGC-3' SEQ ID NO:10) and M2NATM (5'-
ATTATAGGAGTCGTAATGTGTATCTCAGGGATTACCATAATAGATCGTCT
TTTTTCAAATGC-3'; SEQ ID NO:11).

The PCR products were phosphorylated, self-ligated, and propagated in *E.*
10 *coli* strain DH5 α , and then digested with *Bsm*BI and cloned into the *Bsm*BI sites of
the pHH21 vector. The resulting constructs were designated pPo1IM2V27T,
pPo1IM2A30P, pPo1IM2S31N, pPo1IM2del29-31, pPo1IM2W41A,
pPo1IM2HATM, and pPo1IM2NATM. All of the constructs were sequenced to
ensure that unwanted mutations were not present. The plasmids for the expression
15 of the HA (pEWSN-HA), NP (pCAGGS-WSN-NP0/14), NA (pCAGGS-WNA15),
M1 (pCAGGS-WSN-M1-2/1) proteins of A/WSN/33 (H1N1) virus, and the M2
(pEP24c), NS2 (pCANS2), PB1 (pcDNA774), PB2 (pcDNA762), and PA
(pcDNA787) of A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (H1N1) virus are described in Neumann et al.
(1999).

20 Plasmid-driven Reverse Genetics. Transfectant viruses were generated as
reported in Neumann et al. (1999). Briefly, 17 plasmids (8 Po1I constructs for 8
RNA segments and 9 protein-expression constructs for 9 structural proteins) were
mixed with transfection reagent (2 μ l of Trans IT LT-1 (Panvera, Madison, WI) per
 μ g of DNA), incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes, and added to 1 X 10⁶
25 293T cells. Six hours later, the DNA-transfection reagent mixture was replaced by
Opti-MEM (GIBCO/BRL) containing 0.3% BSA and 0.01% FCS. Forty-eight
hours later, viruses in the supernatant were plaque-purified in MDCK cells once and
then inoculated into MDCK cells for the production of stock virus. The M genes of
transfectant viruses were sequenced to confirm the origin of the gene and the
30 presence of the intended mutations and to ensure that no unwanted mutations were

present. In all experiments, the transfection viruses contained only the M gene from Udorn virus and the remaining genes from A/WSN/33.

Replicative properties of the transfectant viruses. MDCK cells in duplicate wells of 24-wells plates were infected with wild-type and mutant viruses at a
5 multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.001 plaque-forming units (PFU) per cell, overlaid with MEM medium containing 0.5 µg of trypsin per ml, and incubated at 37°C. At different times, supernatants were assayed for infectious virus in plaque assays on MDCK cells.

To investigate the amantadine sensitivity of mutant viruses, the viruses were
10 titrated in MDCK cells in the presence of different concentrations of the drug.

M2 incorporation into viruses. Transfectant viruses were grown in MDCK cells containing 0.5 µg of trypsin per ml. Viruses were purified through six-step sucrose gradients (20, 30, 35, 40, 45, and 50%) for 2.5 hours at 50,000g at 4°C. Virus was resuspended in PBS and stored in aliquots at -80°C. Purified virus was
15 resuspended in the lysis buffer (0.6 M KCl, 50 mM Tris-Cl [pH 7.5], 0.5% Triton X-100). The viral lysates were placed on 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gels, which then were electrotransferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. The membrane was blocked overnight at 4°C with 5% skimmed milk in PBS, and then incubated with the 14C2 anti-M2 monoclonal antibody (kindly provided by Dr. R.
20 Lamb) and anti-WSN-NP monoclonal antibody for 1 hour at room temperature. The membrane was washed three times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20. Bound antibodies were detected with a VECTASTAIN ABC kit (Vector) and the Western immunoblot ECL system (Amersham). Signal intensities were quantified with an Alpha Imager 2000 (Alpha Innotech Corporation).

Experimental infection. Five-week-old female BALB/c mice, anesthetized with isoflurane, were infected intranasally with 50 µl (5.0×10^3 PFU) of virus. Virus titers in organs were determined 3 days after infection with MDCK cells, as described (Bilsel et al., 1993).

Results

30 Generation of influenza A viruses containing mutations in the M2 protein.

The TM domain of the M2 protein is modeled to have an α helical structure (Duff et al., 1992; Sugrue and Hay, 1991; Sansom and Kerr, 1993). Mutations at residues V-27, A-30, S-31, G-34, and L-38, all of which are located on the same face of the α helix, alter the properties of the M2 ion channel (Grambas et al., 1992; Pinto et al., 1992; Wang et al., 1993). To determine whether the ion channel activity of M2 is essential for viral replication, five plasmids were constructed and used to generate mutant viruses possessing changes in the M2 TM domain (Figure 7). The whole-cell currents of the mutant proteins expressed in oocytes of *Xenopus laevis*, were measured by Holsinger et al. (1994), using a two-electrode voltage-clamp procedure. None of three mutants, i.e., M2A30P, M2W41A, and M2del29-31, had functional ion channel activity at either neutral or low pH. M2V27T and M2S31N, which showed ion channel activity at low pH (Holsinger et al., 1994), were used as positive controls.

To generate mutant viruses by plasmid-driven reverse genetics (Neumann et al., 1999), 293T cells were transfected with nine protein-expression plasmids and eight others for the production of viral RNA segments that encoded all A/WSN/33 (H1N1) viral genes except the M gene, which was derived from the A/Udm/307/72 (H3N2) (Udm) virus (wild-type). The corresponding transfectant viruses were designated M2V27T, M2A30P, M2S31N, M2W41A, M2del29-31, and WSN-UdM, for the virus containing the parental Udm M gene.

To determine the efficiency of virus generation, viruses were titrated in the culture supernatant of 293T cells at 48 hours post-transfection using MDCK cells. As shown in Table 3, more than 10^5 transfectant viruses with the wild-type or mutant M gene were present. Thus, all viruses bearing M2 mutations and the virus possessing the wild-type Udm M gene were generated with similar efficiency. The transfectant viruses were plaque-purified once in MDCK cells and then inoculated into MDCK cells to make virus stocks. The stability of the introduced mutations was analyzed by sequencing the M gene segments of the transfectant viruses after ten passages in MDCK cells. No revertants were found.

Table 3. Virus titers in the supernatant of 293T cells after plasmid transfection^a

	Virus	Titers (PFU/ml)
5		
	Wild type	1.9×10^5
	M2V27T	6.0×10^5
	M2A30P	1.1×10^5
10	M2S31N	1.2×10^6
	M2W41A	1.2×10^6
	M2del29-31	1.7×10^6
	M2HATM	2.2×10^4
	M2NATM	2.2×10^3
15		

^a293T cells were transfected with eight plasmids for the production of A/WSN/33 vRNA (excluding the M gene, which was derived from A/Udorn/72 virus) and nine protein expression plasmids, as described in Materials and Methods. At 48 hours posttransfection, virus in the supernatant of 293T cell cultures was titrated using MDCK cells.

Growth properties of M2 mutant viruses in tissue culture. Next, the growth properties of M2 ion channel mutants and wild-type WSN-UdM virus in MDCK cells were compared (Figure 8). Cells were infected at an MOI of 0.001, and yields of virus in the culture supernatant were determined at different times postinfection. The mutant viruses did not differ appreciably from the wild-type WSN-UdM virus in either growth rate or the size of plaques formed at 48 hours (1.5 mm in diameter in 3 days).

To assess the amantadine sensitivity of these viruses, the M2 mutants and wild-type WSN-UdM viruses were plaqued in MDCK cells in the presence of different concentrations of amantadine. In cell culture, amantadine produces two discrete concentration-dependent inhibitory actions against viral replication. A nonspecific action at concentrations $>50 \mu\text{M}$, resulting from an increase in the pH of

endosomes, inhibits activation of HA membrane fusion activity involved in endocytosis (Daniels et al., 1985); whereas at lower concentrations, 0.1-5 μ M, the drug selectivity inhibits viral replication (Appleyard, 1977). As shown in Figure 9, amantadine markedly reduced the yield of wild-type WSN-UdM virus, as well as the size of plaques, at each of the three test concentrations. By contrast, at 5 μ M of amantadine, the replication of M2 mutant viruses was either not affected or inhibited only slightly. Substantial inhibition, due to the drug's nonspecific activity, was seen at 50 μ M. Thus, all of the M2 mutants were more resistant to amantadine than the wild-type virus.

10 Generation of transfectant viruses in which the M2 TM domain was replaced with that from the HA or NA. Although the M2A30P, M2W41A, and M2del29-31 mutants do not have functional ion channel activity, as assayed by a two-electrode voltage-clamp procedure (Holsinger et al., 1994), they all replicated as well as the wild-type virus in MDCK cells (Figure 8). Thus, M2 ion channel activity may not
15 be essential for virus replication, although it could not be ruled out that low-level ion channel activity was below the sensitivity of the assay.

To determine whether M2 channel ion activity is not essential for viral replication, chimeric mutant viruses were generated in which the M2 TM domain was replaced with that from the HA or NA of the A/WSN/33 virus (Figure 10).

20 When the supernatant of 293T cells which had been transfected with plasmids was assayed for virus production, the chimeric mutants (M2HATM and M2NATM) were each viable, but their titers were more than one log lower than the wild-type WSN-UdM titer (Table 3). The mutants also produced pinpoint plaques after 48 hours of growth. Thus, the M2 TM domain is dispensable for viral replication *in*
25 *vitro*.

Growth properties of the M2HATM mutant in tissue culture.

Because the titers of the M2NATM virus stock did not exceed 10^4 PFU/ml, the M2HATM virus was employed for further analysis, first by examining the time course of progeny virus production by M2HATM versus wild-type WSN-UdM
30 viruses in MDCK cells (Figure 8). Although M2HATM produced a lower titer than

did the wild-type WSN-UdM virus at 12 and 24 hours postinfection, its maximum titer at 36 hours was almost the same as that of the wild-type virus. This result indicates that the absence of the M2 TM domain does not drastically impair the replicative ability of the virus in tissue culture.

5 Incorporation of mutant M2 molecules into virions. Conceivably, the M2 point and chimeric mutants possessed some residual ion channel activity, so that increased incorporation of the M2 protein into virions could compensate for any defect in this function. Therefore, the efficiency of incorporation of the wild-type and mutant M2s into influenza virions was compared using Western blot analysis
10 after standardization based on the intensity of NP (Figure 11). Virion incorporations of two mutant M2 proteins (M2del29-31 and M2HATM) was slightly less than that of the wild-type protein, although the W41A mutant was incorporated more efficiency. The band detected slightly below the M2 protein in the wild-type is probably a proteolytically cleaved form of M2, as reported by others (Zebedee and
15 Lamb, 1988). An additional band below the NP protein that was reactive with anti-NP, but not anti-M2 antibody, is a cleavage product of NP (Zhirmov and Bukrinskaya, 1984). Together, these results demonstrate that increased incorporation of M2 protein into virions does not seem to compensate for defective M2 ion channel activity.

20 Replication of M2 mutant viruses in mice. To determine the role of M2 ion channel activity *in vivo*, mice were infected with each of the six mutant viruses (Table 4), which replicated in the lungs as well as or more efficiently than the wild-type WSN-UdM virus, although the titer of the M2del29-31 virus was a log lower than that of the wild-type virus. By contrast, the mutants showed different
25 replicative potentials in nasal, turbinates, with neither the M2A30P nor M2del29-31 virus recovered from such samples in any of the infected mice. M2HATM virus was not recovered from either the lungs or the nasal turbinates. These results indicate that M2 ion channel activity is necessary for efficient viral replication *in vivo*. Further, the serum of the infected mice have antibodies which bind to the
30 immunizing virus (see Example 3).

Table 4. Replication of M2 mutants in mice^a

Virus	Mean titers (log ₁₀ PFU/g) ± SD	
	Nasal turbinate	Lung
Wild-type	3.9 ± 0.5	6.8 ± 0.3
M2V27T	4.3 ± 0.7	7.3 ± 0.3
M2A30P	NR ^b	6.8 ± 0.1
M2S31N	4.3 ± 0.4	7.0 ± 0.2
M2W41A	3.1 ± 2.2 ^c	6.7 ± 0.2
M2del29-31	NR	5.6 ± 0.1
M2HATM	NR	NR

^aFive-week-old female BALB/c mice (n=4), anesthetized with isoflurane, were infected intranasally with 50 µl of virus (5 x 10³ PFU). Virus titers in organs were determined 3 days after infection with MDCK cells.

^bNR, virus was not recovered from any of the infected mice (less than 10² PFU/g).

^cVirus was recovered from only three of the four mice infected.

Discussion

A reverse-genetics system (Neumann et al., 1999) was used to generate transfectant influenza A viruses with changes in the M2 protein TM domain that are known to block ion channel activity. Despite this functional defect, all of the mutant viruses replicated as efficiently as the wild-type WSN-UdM virus *in vitro*. The dispensability of M2 ion channel activity in viral replication was reinforced by experiments in which the TM domain of the M2 protein was replaced with that from the HA or NA. Thus, in *in vitro* studies, influenza A viruses did not require M2 ion channel activity for efficient replication.

M2 ion channel activity is believed to function at an early stage in the viral life cycle, between the steps of host cell penetration and uncoating of viral RNA. Zhirnov et al. (1990) reported that low pH induces the dissociation of M1 protein

from viral RNPs *in vitro*. This observation lead others to suggest that the introduction of protons into the interior of virions through M2 ion channel activity in the endosomes is responsible for M1 dissociation from RNP (reviewed by Helenius, 1992). If so, how could this process occur in the absence of M2 ion

5 channel activity or the M2 TM domain? Immunoelectron microscopy of the HA protein in virosomes exposed to low pH demonstrated that, in the absence of target membranes, the N-terminal fusion peptide of the HA2 subunit was inserted into the same membrane site where HA was anchored (Wharton et al., 1995). Therefore, one possibility is that the fusion peptide of the HA maybe inserted into the viral

10 envelope, forming pores in the viral membrane that permit the flow of protons from the endosome into virus interior, resulting in disruption of RNP-M1 interaction. Alternatively, M1 may be able to dissociate from RNP by an entirely different mechanism, including ion channel activity by the TM regions of other viral membrane proteins, such as the HA, the NA or both.

15 What is the origin of the M2 ion channel? The M2 ion channel activity was originally discovered with A/fowl plague/Rostock/34 (FPV Rostock) strain, which has intracellularly cleavable HA (Sugreu et al., 1990; Ohuchi et al., 1994; Takeuchi and Lamb, 1994). In this strain, the HA undergoes a low pH-induced conformational change in the *trans*-Golgi network in the absence of M2 ion channel

20 activity, which raises the pH in this compartment. Hence, in the past, influenza A viruses may have been equipped with an M2 protein that promoted an increase in the pH of the *trans*-Golgi network, to a level above which conformational changes occur in the intracellularly cleavable HA. As influenza A viruses without intracellularly cleavable HAs began to appear, there was less selective pressure to

25 maintain high ion channel activity associated with the M2 protein. Consequent decreases in this activity may have been sufficient to allow dissociation of M1 from RNP. Indeed, ion channel activity differs markedly among the M2 proteins of currently recognized viruses: for example, fivefold more M2 protein from human Udorn virus (containing intracellularly uncleavable HA) is needed to produce the

30 same ion channel activity displayed by an equivalent amount of M2 from FPV

Rostock virus (containing intracellularly cleavable HA) (Takeuchi and Lamb, 1994). Conversely, the HAs of some influenza A viruses have changed from intracellularly uncleavable to cleavable during replication in chickens (Kawaoka et al., 1984; Horimoto and Kawaoka, 1995; Horimoto et al., 1995), suggesting that M2 protein
5 with limited ion channel activity could acquire greater activity once a switch to intracellularly cleavable HA has occurred.

The M2 ion channel knock-out and M2HATM viruses replicated reasonably well in tissue culture, but were highly attenuated in mice, raising the possibility for their use as live vaccines. Cold-adapted live vaccines, now in clinical trials
10 (reviewed by Maasab and Bryant, 1999), hold considerable promise for use in the general population (Sears et al., 1988; Steinhoff et al., 1991; Steinhoff et al., 1990). The major concern is that the limited number of attenuating mutations in such vaccines (Cox et al., 1988; Herlocher et al., 1993) could permit the generation of revertant viruses. Abolishing the M2 ion channel activity, for example, by replacing
15 the M2 TM domain with that from the HA, would greatly reduce the likelihood of the emergence of revertant viruses. Thus, using our new reverse-genetics system, the generation of influenza viruses with modified viral genes could lead to the production of safe live influenza vaccines.

To date, four viral proteins have been reported to act as ion channels: M2 of
20 influenza A virus, NB or influenza B virus, and Vpu and Vpr of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) (Ewart et al., 1996; Piller et al., 1996; Pinto et al., 1992; Schubert et al., 1996; Sunstrom et al., 1996). Since the replication strategies of influenza type A and B viruses are very similar, the NB ion channel activity is also thought to play a role at an early stage of the viral life cycle, although
25 NB still lacks a demonstrated function in viral replication. Although the Vpu protein of HIV-1 enhances the release of virus particles from cells (Schubert et al., 1995; Strebel et al., 1988; Terwilliger et al., 1989), its gene can be deleted without completely abrogating HIV-1 replication *in vitro* (Cohen et al., 1988; Klimkait et al., 1990; Strebel et al., 1988, 1989). Vpr, another HIV-1 auxiliary protein, is
30 likewise not essential for replication in tissue culture (Dedera et al., 1989). Finally,

here, we have shown that M2 ion channel activity is not essential for the life cycle of influenza A viruses. Therefore, ion channel activities of viral proteins may be an auxiliary function in general, although they can promote more efficient viral replication under certain conditions such as *in vivo*, as shown hereinabove.

5

Example 3

Materials and Methods

Cells and viruses. 293T human embryonic kidney cells and Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and in MEM containing 5% newborn calf serum, respectively. The 293T cell line is a derivative of the 293 line, into which the gene for the simian virus 40 T antigen was inserted (Dubridge et al., 1987). All cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂. M2del29-31 and WSN-UdM (wild-type) viruses were propagated in MDCK cells. A/WSN/33 (H1N1) virus was propagated in 10-day-old embryonated chicken eggs.

Immunization and protection tests. BALB/c mice (4-week-old female) were intranasally immunized with 50 µl of 1.1 x 10⁵ PFU per ml of M2del29-31 or wild-type WSN-UdM viruses. On the second week, four mice were sacrificed to obtain sera, trachea-lung washes, and nasal washes. Two weeks and one or three months after the vaccination, immunized mice were challenged intranasally, under anesthesia, with 100 LD₅₀ doses of the wild-type WSN virus. For determination of virus titers, lungs were harvested at day 3 and were homogenized and titrated on MDCK cells. The remaining animals were observed for clinical signs and symptoms of infection for 14 days after challenge.

Detection of virus-specific antibody. Serum samples were examined for antibody by ELISA. In this assay, the wells were coated with purified WSN virus after treatment with 0.05 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.8) containing 0.5% Triton X-100 and 0.6 M KCl at room temperature and diluted in PBS. After incubation of virus-coated plates with test serum samples, bound antibody was detected with rabbit anti-mouse IgA (Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) and goat anti-

mouse IgG (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) conjugated to horseradish peroxidase.

Results

5 In the second week after immunization, virus-specific IgG and IgA was found in nasal washes, lung washes and sera of immunized mouse. Noteably, virus specific IgG was found in greater levels in mice immunized with M2del29-31 virus in all three sample types, and virus-specific IgA was found in lung washes from M2del29-31-immunized mice but was undetectable in lung washes from WSN-UdM-immunized mice (Figure 12).

10 The mice were challenged with wild-type virus two weeks, one month or two months after immunization and body weights determined for up to 2 weeks (Figure 13). The body weights of mice immunized with M2del29-31 virus and challenged with wild-type virus remained relatively constant regardless of the timing between immunization and challenge while the body weights of mice
15 immunized with wild-type virus and later challenged with wild-type virus dropped precipitously after challenge regardless of the timing between immunization and challenge.

The lungs from some of the mice were harvested at day 3 after challenge to determine virus titers (Table 4). Only mice that were immunized with wild-type
20 virus and challenged with wild-type virus had detectable virus in the lungs. The lack of the presence of virus in lung of immunized mice which were challenged correlated with survival after challenge.

Table 4. Protection against virus challenge in immunized mice ^a

	Immunogen	No. survivors/ no. tested	Virus titer in lungs [\log_{10} (PFU/g)]
5			
	2 weeks		
	control	0 / 4	7.5 ± 0.1
10	del29-31	4 / 4	0
	1 month		
15	control	0 / 4	7.4 ± 0.1
	del29-31	4 / 4	0
	3 months		
20	control	0 / 4	7.2 ± 0.1
	del29-31	4 / 4	0
25	^a BALB/c mice (4-week-old female) were intranasally immunized with 50 μ l of 1.1×10^5 PFU per		
	ml of M2del29-31 or wild-type WSN-UdM virus. Two weeks, or one or three months after the		
	vaccination, immunized mice were challenged intranasally with 100 LD ₅₀ doses of the wild-type		
	WSN virus. For determination of virus titers, lungs were harvested at day 3 and were homogenized		
	and titrated on MDCK cells. The remaining animals were observed for clinical signs and symptoms		
30	of infection for 14 days after challenge.		

References

- Alberts, B., Bray, D., Lewis, J., Raff, M., Roberts, K., and Watson, J.D. (eds) *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. Garland Publishing, Inc., New York, NY. (1994).
- 5 Albo, C., Martin, J., and Portela, A., J. Virol., **70**, 9013-9017 (1996).
Appleyard, G., J. Gen. Virol., **36**, 249-255 (1977).
Bilsel, P., Castrucci, M.R., and Kawaoka, Y., J. Virol., **67**, 6762-6767 (1993).
- Bridgen, A. and Elliot, R. M., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **93**, 15400-15404 (1996).
- 10 Castrucci, M. R., Bilsel, P. and Kawaoka, Y., J. Virol., **66**, 4647-4653 (1992).
 Castrucci, M.R. and Kawaoka, Y., J. Virol., **69**, 2725-2728 (1995).
 Cox, N.J., Kitame, F., Kendal, A.P., Maassab, H.F., and Naeve, C.,
15 Virology, **167**, 554-567 (1988).
 Daniels, R.S., Downie, J.C., Hay, A. J., Knossow, M., Skehel, J.J., Wang, M.L., and Wiley, D.C., Cell, **40**, 431-439 (1985).
 Dedera, D., Hu, W., Vander Heyden, H., and Ratner, L., J. Virol., **63**, 3205-3208 (1989).
- 20 DuBridge, R.B., Tang, P., Hsia, H.C., Leong, P.M., Miller, J.H., and Calos, M.P., Mol. Cell Biol., **7**, 379-387 (1987).
 Duff, K. C., and Ashley, R. H., Virology, **190**, 485-489 (1992).
 Duff, K. C., Kelly, S. M., Price, N. C., and Bradshaw, J. P., FEBS Lett., **311**, 256-258 (1992).
- 25 Dunn, E.F., Pritlove, D.C., Jin, H., and Elliott, R.M., Virology, **211**, 133-143 (1995).
 Enami, M., Luytjes, W., Krystal, M. and Palese, P., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **87**, 3802-3805 (1990).
 Enami, M., Sharma, G., Benham, C. and Palese, P., Virology, **185**, 291-298
30 (1991).

- Enami, M. and Palese, P., J. Virol., **65**, 2711-2713 (1991).
- Ewald, G. D., Sutherland, T., Gage, P.W., and Cok, G.B., J. Virol., **70**, 7108-7115 (1996).
- Grambas, S., Bennett, M. S., and Hay, A. J., Virology, **190**, 541-549 (1992).
- 5 Goto, H., Bethell, R. C. and Kawaoka, Y., Virology, **238**, 265-272 (1997).
- Hagen, M., chung, T.D.Y., Butcher, J.A., and Krystal, M., J. Virol., **68**, 1509-1515 (1994).
- Hay, A. J., Thompson, C. A., Geraghty, F.M., Hayhurst, A., Grambas, S., and Bennett, M. S., In Hannoun, C., Kendal, A. P., Klenk, H. D., and Ruben, F.L.
- 10 (eds) *Options for the control of influenza II*. Excerpta Medica, Amsterdam, pp. 281-288 (1993).
- Hay, A. J., Wolstenholme, A. J., Skehel, J. J., and Smith, M. H., EMBO J., **4**, 3021-3024 (1985).
- Helenius, A., Cell, **69**, 577-578. (1992).
- 15 Herlocher, M. L., Maassab, H. F., and Webster, R. G., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **90**, 6032-6036 (1993).
- Holsinger, L. J., Nichani, D., Pinto, L. H., and Lamb, R. A., J. Virol., **68**, 1551-1563 (1994).
- Horimoto, T. and Kawaoka, Y., J. Virol., **68**, 3120-3128 (1994).
- 20 Horimoto, T. and Kawaoka, Y., Virology, **206**, 755-759 (1995).
- Horimoto, T., Rivera, E., Pearson, J., Sanne, D., Krauss, S., Kawaoka, Y., and Webster, R. G., Virology, **213**, 223-230 (1995).
- Kato, N., and Eggers, H. J., Virology, **37**, 632-641 (1969).
- Katz, J.M., Wang, M., and Webster, R. G., J. Virol., **64**, 1808-1811 (1990).
- 25 Kawaoka, Y., Naeve, C. W., and Webster, R. G., Virology, **139**, 303-316 (1984).
- Keitel, W.A. and Piedra, P. A., in *Textbook of Influenza*, eds. Nickolson, K. G., Webster, R. G., and Hay, A. (Blackwell, Oxford), pp. 373-390 (1998).
- Klimkait, T., Strebel, K., Hoggan, M. D., Martin, M. A., and Orenstein, J.
- 30 M., J. Virol., **64**, 621-629 (1990).

- Lamb, R. A., and Krug, R. A., In Fields, B. N., Knipe, D. M., and Howley, P. M. (eds) *Fields Virology*, 3rd ed. Lippincott-Raven Publishers, Philadelphia, Pa, pp. 1353-1395 (1996).
- Leahy, M.B., Dessens, J.T., and Nuttall, P.A., J. Virol., **71**, 8347-8351
5 (1997).
- Leahy, M.B., Dessens, J.T., and Nuttall, P.A., J. Virol., **71**, 8352-8356
(1997).
- Leahy, M.B., Dessens, J.T., Pritlove, D.C., and Nuttall, P.A., J. Virol., **72**,
2305-2309 (1998).
- 10 Li, S., Xu, M. and Coelingh, K., Virus Res., **37**, 153-161 (1995).
- Luytjes, W., Krystal, M., Enami, M., Parvin, J. D. and Palese, P., Cell, **59**,
1107-1113 (1989).
- Martin, K., and Helenius, A., Cell, **67**, 117-130 (1991).
- Maassab, H.F., and Bryant, M. L. , Rev. Med. Virol., **9**, 237-244 (1991).
- 15 Mena, I., Vivo, A., Perez, E. and Portela, A., J. Virol., **70**, 5016-5024 (1996).
- Neirynek, S., Deroo, T., Saelens, X., Vanlandschoot, P., Jou, W. M., and
Fiers, W., Nat. Med., **5**, 1157-1163 (1999).
- Neumann, G., Zobel, A. and Hobom, G., Virology, **202**, 477-479 (1994).
- Neumann, G., Castrucci, M.R. and Kawaoka, Y., J. Virol., **71**, 9690-9700
20 (1997).
- Neumann, G., Watanabe, T., Ito, H., Watanabe, S., Goto, H., Gao, P.,
Hughes, M., Perez, D.R., Donis, R., Hoffmann, E., Hobom, G., and Kawaoka, Y.,
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **96**, 9345-9350 (1999).
- Niwa, H., Yamamura, K. and Miyazaki, J., Gene, **108**, 193-200 (1991).
- 25 Ochman, H., Gerber, A. S., and Hartl, D. L., Genetics, **120**, 621-623 (1988).
- Ohuchi, M., Cramer, A., Vey, M., Ohuchi, R., Garten, W., and Klenk, H. -
D., J. Virol., **68**, 920-926 (1994).
- Perez, D.R. and Donis, R. O., Virology, **249**, 52-61 (1998).
- Piller, S. C., Ewart, G. D., Premakumar, A., Cox, G. B., and Gage, P. W.,
30 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **93**, 111-115 (1996).

- Pinto, L. H., Holsinger, L. J., and Lamb, R. A., Cell, **69**, 517-528 (1992).
- Pleschka, S., Jaskunas, S. R., Engelhardt, O.G., Zurcher, T., Palese, P. and Garcia-Sastre, A., J. Virol., **70**, 4188-4192 (1996).
- Roizman, B., and Palese, P., In Fields, B. N., Knipe, D. M., and Howley, P. M. (eds) *Fields Virology*, 3rd ed. Lippincott-Raven Publishers, Philadelphia, Pa, pp. 101-111 (1996).
- Sansom, M. S. P., and Kerr, I. D., Protein Eng., **6**, 65-74 (1993).
- Schubert, U., Clouse, K. A., and Strebel, K., J. Virol., **69**, 7699-7711 (1995).
- Schnell, M.J., Mebatsion, T., and Conzelmann, K.K. EMBO J., **13**, 4195-4203 (1994).
- Schubert, U., Ferrer-Montiel, A. V., Oblatt-Montal, M., Henklein, P., Strebel, K., and Montal, M., FEBS Lett., **398**, 12-18 (1996).
- Sears, S. D., Clements, M. L., Betts, R.F., Maassab, H. F., Murphy, B.R., and Snyder, M. H., J. Infect. Dis., **158**, 1209-1219 (1988).
- Skehel, J. J., Hay, A. J., and Armstrong, J. A., J. Gen. Virol., **38**, 97-110 (1978).
- Steinhoff, M. C., Halsey, N. A., Fries, L. F., Wilson, M. H., King, J., Burns, B. A., Samorodin, R. K., Perkis, V., Murphy, B. R., and Clements, M. L., J. Infect. Dis., **163**, 1023-1028 (1991).
- Steinhoff, M. C., Halsey, N. A., Wilson, M. H., Burns, B. A., Samorodin, R. K., Fries, L. F., Murphy, B. R., and Clements, M. L., J. Infect. Dis., **162**, 394-401 (1990).
- Strebel, K., Klimkait, T. Maldarelli, F., and Martin, M.A., J. Virol., **63**, 3784-3791 (1989).
- Strebel, K., Klimkait, T., and Martin, M.A., Science **241**, 1221-1223 (1988).
- Subbarao, E. K., Kawaoka, Y. and Murphy, B. R., J. Virol., **67**, 7223-7228 (1993).
- Sugrue, R. J., Bahadur, G., Zamborn, M. C., Hall-Smith, M., Douglas, A. R., and Hay, A.J., EMBO J., **2**, 3469-3476 (1990).
- Sugrue, R. J., and Hay, A. J., Virology, **180**, 617-624 (1991).

Sunstrom, N. A., Premkumar, L.S., Premkumar, A., Ewart, G., Cox, G. B., and Gage, P. W., J. Membr. Biol., 150, 127-132 (1996).

Takeuchi, K. and Lamb, R. A., J. Virol., 68, 911-919 (1994).

Terwilliger, E. F., cohen, E. A., Lu, Y. C., Sodroski, J. G., and Haseltine, W. A., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 86, 5163-5167 (1989).

Wang, C., Takeuchi, K., Pinto, L. H., and Lamb, R. A., J. Virol., 67, 5585-5594 (1993).

Weber, F., Haller, O., and Kochs, G., J. Virol., 70, 8361-8367 (1996).

Weber, F., Haller, O., and Kochs, G., Arch. Virol., 142, 1029-1033 (1997).

10 Wharton, S. A., Calder, L. J., Ruigrok, R. W. H., Skehel, J. J., Steinhauer, D. A., and Wiley, D. C., EMBO J., 14, 240-246 (1995).

Yasuda, J., Bucher, D.J. and Ishihama, A., J. Virol., 68, 8141-8146 (1994).

Zebedee, S. L., and Lamb, R. A., J. Virol., 62, 2762-2772 (1988).

Zhirnov, O. P., Virology, 176, 274-279 (1990).

15 Zhirnov, O., and Bukrinskaya, A. G., J. Gen. Virol., 65, 1127-1134 (1984).

All publications, patents and patent applications are incorporated herein by reference. While in the foregoing specification this invention has been described in relation to certain preferred embodiments thereof, and many details have been set
20 forth for purposes of illustration, it will be apparent to those skilled in the art that the invention is susceptible to additional embodiments and that certain of the details described herein may be varied considerably without departing from the basic principles of the invention.